

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,487	01/15/2004	Felix Henry	01807.002568.	4175	
5514 FITZPATRIC	7590 08/21/200 K CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			WOLDEMARIAM, AKILILU K		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/757,487	HENRY, FELIX					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624					

	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee to action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	ill not be entered be					
3. I The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying t					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other: Applicant argued about claim limitations, " selecti	ng a resolution lower than the higher	est of the predefined r	esolutions and				

13.
☐ Other: Applicant argued about claim limitations, "selecting a resolution lower than the highest of the predefined resolutions an different from each of the predefined resolutions and subsampling the decoded image." Examiner disagreed with applicant Chui et al. (USF 0641143) discloses selection a resolution lower than the highest of the predefined resolution and different from each of the predefined resolutions (see fig. 1 and 9) and subsamplind decodeed image (see column 5, lines 10-28, the array 150 is divided into analysis arrays and the analysis arrays and the predefined resolutions.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Samir A. Ahmed/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 Samir Ahmed, Examiner Art Unit: 2624

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080817